



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/897,574	07/02/2001	Kenichi Kawaguchi	10873.744US01	1221
7590 02/09/2005			EXAMINER	
Merchant & Gould P.C. P.O. Box 2903 Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903			HUYNH, KIM T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2112	

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/897,574	Applicant(s) KAWAGUCHI, KENICHI	
	Examiner Kim T. Huynh	Art Unit 2112	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 November 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Futral et al. (US Patent 6,317,799) in view of Bauman et (US Patent 6,189,078)

As per claims 1, 6 Futral discloses a data transfer apparatus comprising:

- An associative memory (fig.5, 54) connected between a system bus (fig.5, 62) and a local bus(fig.5, 56); and
- A controller for controlling data input/output of the associative memory; (col.4, lines 12-19)
- Wherein the controller fetches an address and data that are transferred between devices on the system bus so as to duplicate and store them in the associative memory, accepts a data transfer request from the local bus and, when an address from which the data is transferred indicated by the data transfer request is contained in the address stored in the associative memory, reads out corresponding data from the associative memory so as to transfer it to the local/system bus. (col.5, lines 11-55)

Futral discloses all the limitations as above except the devices being different from the data transfer apparatus. However, Bauman

discloses a plurality of devices each having an associated local memory for storing data segments. For example first device stores data segment is requested by a second device. The requested data and a data transfer response is delivered to the second device upon receipt of the data transfer request. (col.3, lines 33-61)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Bauman's teaching into Futral's system so as to provide system for reducing data transfer delays.(col.3, lines 28-35)

As per claims 2, 7, Futral discloses wherein if it is detected that a write cycle of writing a data from one device to another device is generated on the system bus, the controller fetches the address and the data that are transferred between the devices so as to duplicate and store them in the associative memory. (col.5, lines 4-13)

As per claims 3,8, Futral discloses wherein the controller monitors a data output enable signal line of at lest one device controller on the system bus and, when the data output enable signal line is asserted, fetches the address and the data that are transferred on the system bus so as to duplicate and store them in the associative memory. (col.5, lines 36-55)

As per claims 4,9, Futral discloses wherein the controller monitors a data output strobe signal line of at least one device controller on the system bus and, when the data output strobe signal line is asserted, fetches the address and the data that are transferred on the system bus so as to duplicate and store them in the associative memory. (col.5, lines 14-55)

As per claims 5, 10, Futral discloses wherein when the address from which the data is transferred indicated by the data transfer request accepted from the local bus is not contained in the address stored in the associative memory, the controller stores a data effective information indicating the address in which a transfer operation has not been completed in response to the data transfer request in a second associative memory, fetches the address and the data that are transferred between the devices on the system bus and, if the fetched address is the address indicated by the data effective information, transfers it to the local bus as data corresponding to the data transfer request. (col.4, line 41- col.5, line 13)

As per claim 11, Futral discloses a data transfer apparatus comprising:

- An associative memory (fig.5, 54) connected between a system bus(fig.5, 62) and a local bus(fig.5, 56); and
 - A controller for controlling data input/output of the associative memory;
- (col.4, lines 12-19)

- Wherein the controller fetches an address and data that are transferred between devices on the system bus so as to duplicate and store them in the associative memory, fetches an address and a data that are transferred between devices on the local bus so as to duplicate and store them in the associative memory, accepts a data transfer request from the local bus and, when an address from which the data is transferred indicated by the data transfer request is contained in the address stored in the associative memory, reads out a corresponding data from the associative memory so as to transfer it to the local bus, accepts a data transfer request from the system bus and, when an address from which the data is transferred indicated by the data transfer request is contained in the address stored in the associative memory, reads out corresponding data from the associative memory so as to transfer it to the system bus.

(col.5, lines 14-55)

Futral discloses all the limitations as above except the devices being different from the data transfer apparatus. However, Bauman discloses a plurality of devices each having an associated local memory for storing data segments. For example first device stores data segment is requested by a second device. The requested data and a data transfer response is delivered to the second device upon receipt of the data transfer request. (col.3, lines 33-61)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Bauman's teaching into Futral's system so as to provide system for reducing data transfer delays.(col.3, lines 28-35)

As per claim 12, Futral discloses a data transfer method for controlling data input/output between a system bus and a local bus the method comprising:

A buffering operation of fetching an address and data that are transferred between devices on the system bus so as to duplicate and store them; and (col.4,lines 50-61), (col.3, lines 43-62)

An operation of accepting a data transfer request from the local bus and, when an address from which the data is transferred indicated by the data transfer request is contained in the address stored in the buffering operation, reading out corresponding data so as to transfer it to the local bus. (col.4, lines 41-61), (col.3, lines 43-62)

Futral discloses all the limitations as above except the devices being different from the data transfer apparatus. However, Bauman discloses a plurality of devices each having an associated local memory for storing data segments. For example first device stores data segment is requested by a second device. The requested data and a data transfer response is delivered to the second device upon receipt of the data transfer request. (col.3, lines 33-61)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Bauman's teaching into Futral's system so as to provide system for reducing data transfer delays.(col.3, lines 28-35)

As per claim 13, Futral discloses a data transfer method for controlling data input/output between a system bus and a local bus, the method comprising:

- A buffering operation of fetching an address and data that are transferred between devices on the local bus so as to duplicate and store them; and (col.4, lines 41-61), (col.3, lines 43-62)
- An operation of accepting a data transfer request from the system bus and, when an address from which the data is transferred indicated by the data transfer request is contained in the address stored in the buffering operation, reading out corresponding data so as to transfer it to the system bus. (col.4, lines 41-61), (col.3, lines 43-62)

Futral discloses all the limitations as above except the devices being different from the data transfer apparatus. However, Bauman discloses a plurality of devices each having an associated local memory for storing data segments. For example first device stores data segment is requested by a second device. The requested data and a data transfer response is delivered to the second device upon receipt of the data transfer request. (col.3, lines 33-61)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Bauman's teaching into Futral's system so as to provide system for reducing data transfer delays.(col.3, lines 28-35)

As per claim 14, Futral discloses a data transfer method for controlling data input/output between a system bus and a local bus, comprising:

- A first buffering operation of fetching an address and data that are transferred between devices on the system bus so as to duplicate and store them; (col.4, lines 41-61), (col.3, lines 43-62)
- A second buffering operation of fetching an address and data that are transferred between devices on the local bus so as to duplicate and store them; (col.4, lines 41-61), (col.3, lines 43-62)
- A first data transfer operation of accepting a data transfer request from the local bus and, when address from which the data is transferred indicated by the data transfer request is contained in the address stored in the first buffering operation, reading out corresponding data so as to transfer it to the local bus; and (col.4, lines 41-61)
- A second data transfer operation of accepting a data transfer request from the system bus and, when an address from which the data is transferred indicated by the data transfer request is contained in the address stored in the address stored in the second buffering operation, reading out

corresponding data so as to transfer it to the system bus. (col.4, lines 41-61)

Futral discloses all the limitations as above except the devices being different from the data transfer apparatus. However, Bauman discloses a plurality of devices each having an associated local memory for storing data segments. For example first device stores data segment is requested by a second device. The requested data and a data transfer response is delivered to the second device upon receipt of the data transfer request. (col.3, lines 33-61)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Bauman's teaching into Futral's system so as to provide system for reducing data transfer delays.(col.3, lines 28-35)

Response to Amendment

3. Applicant's amendment filed on 11/19/04 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. *Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Huynh whose telephone number is (571)272-3635 or via e-mail addressed to [kim.huynh3@uspto.gov]. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM- 6:00PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached at (571)272-3632 or via e-mail addressed to [mark.Rinehart@uspto.gov].*

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)272-2100.

Kim Huynh

Feb. 5, 2005



TIM VO
PRIMARY EXAMINER